

Further comment on and analysis of “Jet propulsion by microwave air plasma in the atmosphere” [AIP Adv. 10, 055002 (2020)] regarding possible evidence of space drive produced lift

R. Mills

The nature of absolute space for the proper time and mass/energy of a fundamental particle such as a free electron and the constant maximum speed of light give rise to a reactionless means of propulsion involving conservation of photon energy absorbed by a free lepton such as a free electron. Conservation laws of a fundamental particle such as a free electron during photon absorption results in the directional increase in the inertial parameters of inertial momentum and mass/energy corresponding to kinetic energy with no reaction of a massive third body reaction partner in the exchange. Specifically, an incident photon increases the kinetic energy of a free electron in the direction of its motion relative to its absolute space by $\frac{1}{2}$ of the photon energy given by $\hbar\omega$ wherein \hbar is Planck’s constant bar and ω is the photon angular frequency. The classical theory regarding the nature of the photon and free electron that give rise to the reactionless force exploited as the mechanism a novel means of propulsion called “space drive” is given in by Mills [1]. A simulation of this phenomenon is given by Mills [2].

Directional kinetic energy may be manifest as a directional lift force by directing the kinetic energized electrons toward a transducer such as a piston. In two patent applications Mills [3-4] discloses space drive, a system that exploits conversion of photon energy to reactionless free electron kinetic energy to provide reactionless lift and propulsion. Specially, space drive comprises (i) a source of microwave power such as microwave generator and a matching network, (ii) a plasma torch with a directional plasma gas flow along the axis of a plasma chamber tube that is powered by the microwave power to maintain a plasma comprising a flow of free electrons along the axis of the plasma tube, (iii) a source of microwave photons such as the plasma torch to apply microwave power to the directional electron flow to create (a) an increase in kinetic energy in the directional electron flow due to the free electrons absorbing the microwave photons in a directional manner and gaining reactionless kinetic energy in a directional manner and (b) directional plasma gas flow with increased kinetic energy since the electrons drag positive ions such as H_3^+ ions with an extraordinary long lifetime [5] that are easily formed and maintained in high concentration in a plasma environment [6] and further accelerate the collisionally-coupled plasma gas, and (v) a transducer such as piston to convert the directional increased kinetic energy flow into a lift force.

In general, the plasma may be formed by a plasma generator such as a surfaguide plasma generator such as exemplary one by Sairem [7]. Alternatively, the plasma generator may comprise a microwave plasma system such as an atmospheric-pressure microwave plasma generator. An exemplary atmospheric-pressure microwave plasma generator is one by Leins et al. [8]. A commercial version is available by Muegge Gerling [9]. Microwave plasma systems that function on the principle of reactive momentum conservation between and object to be propelled and a kinetic energized stream of gas and ions have been studied extensively. The reactive propulsion force and thrust and corresponding efficiency produced by flowing and expanding a pressurized plasma gas energized by microwave power through a typical converging-diverging nozzle such as de Laval nozzle has been shown to be poor.

Motivated by the desire to replace jet engines based on fossil fuels Ye et al. at Wuhan University [9] tested an atmospheric-pressure microwave plasma torch for the ability to heat an ambient air stream and create a pressure and corresponding lifting force on a weight comprising a metal ball of adjustable mass that occluded microwave heated plasma torch gas flow at the top of the torch plasma tube when the gravitational force on the ball or weight matched the lifting force of the microwave heated flowing gas. The lift force and corresponding pressure that lifted the ball was significant compared to atmospheric pressure. Ye et al. [10] erroneously equated the lift force that was comparable to that of a jet engine to the thrust force. Unfortunately, the upward force of the of pressurized gas on the ball and the force of the ball's weight are equal and in opposite directions. The pressure forces on the plasma tube also balance. There was no net propulsion force on the plasma tube, ball, and plasma tube. A net propulsion force in the opposite direction of the ball-restricted plasma tube is given by the nozzle thrust equation wherein any net thrust was due to the gases escaping through the small aperture between the ball surface at the top surface of the plasma tube. This thrust is orders of magnitude less than the lift force due to the pressure and flow of the plasma torch plasma gas as reported in an analysis of the Ye at al. experiment [10] by a Wright et al. at the University of California Los Angeles [11].

Currently all such propulsion systems are based on production of equal and opposite reactive momentum transfer that requires system mass loss in addition to highly inefficient power loss. In contrast, space drive lift or thrust is in the direction of mass flow, requires no mass loss, and can be at least 50% power efficient of microwave power to propulsion kinetic power, wherein the efficiency can be boosted by energy recovery in a closed system. Thus, it is worth revisiting the analysis of the Ye at al. [10] experiment in these terms since some of the basic components of space drive are present assuming that the flattened microwave waveguide was capable of supplying microwave photons corresponding to a far field effect in addition to free electrons via gas ionization which may be predominantly via near electric field coupling, ohmic power transfer.

In addition, Wright et al. [11] reported the results of a restricted heated plasma flow model of the Ye at al. [10] experiments wherein the effect on the plasma chamber pressure as a function of microwave heating power and gas flow rate were analyzed. In addition to losses to escaping, heated flowing gas, microwave power was lost to atmosphere from the walls of the plasma tube of 27 mm OD and 600 mm length and from the surface of the weighted metal ball of 75.5 mm OD. The gas jet at the aperture between the gas tube caused forced convection cooling of the ball and caused moderate forced air flow over the surface of the plasma tube cylinder. Typical air heat transfer coefficients for moderate air flow and forced air convection cooling are 100 W/m²°C and 200 W/m²°C, respectively [12]. Using these values in Wright's Eqs. (3-6) results in an immaterial increase in pressure due to the restricted heated stream flow. The Wright et al. analysis [11] shows larger pressure increases for the test parameters. But, over the ranges of the experimental conditions of flow and power, there are large discrepancies between the model and the data wherein the corresponding curves obviously have different slopes and intercepts. Wright used a value of 15 W/m²°C for the air heat transfer coefficient applied to the tube-wall area only. To be very conservative, the pressure rises according to Eq. (3-6) were calculated using 30 W/m²°C for the area of the tube and 200 W/m²°C for the area of the metal ball, and the hydraulic resistance value derived from the Ye et al. [10] data ($R_{hyd} = 2.20 \times 10^7 \text{ Pa s/m}^3$) was used rather than the mistyped reported value [11]. The potentially significant loss contribution by heat conduction to the waveguide and coupler was not included in the analysis. The results as a function of gas flow rate and microwave power compared to the experimental values of Ye et al. [10] are given in Table 1. The results clearly indicate that there is an unexplained source of excess force.

Table 1. Comparison of the experimental forces lifting the ball (green) and the modeled force (black) due to flowing the heated plasma gas through the tube-ball channel as a function of the microwave input power and the gas flow rate.

		Force (N) at flow rate (m ³ /h)					
		0.7	0.65	1	1.15	0.3	1.45
Microwave Power (W)	0	1.77	2.14	2.49	2.85	3.19	3.54
		1.50	2.00	2.75	3.50	3.80	4.00
	100	1.89	2.27	2.65	3.02	3.39	3.75
	200	2.00	2.41	2.81	3.20	3.59	3.97
	300	2.12	2.55	2.97	3.38	3.78	4.18
	400	2.24	2.68	3.12	3.55	3.97	4.39
		3.00	5.30	6.25	7.70	9.30	10.90
	500	2.35	2.82	3.28	3.73	4.17	4.60
	600	2.46	2.95	3.43	3.90	4.36	4.80
		5.50	7.80	9.30	10.70		
	700	2.58	3.09	3.59	4.07	4.54	5.01
	800	2.69	3.22	3.74	4.24	4.73	5.21

The experimental excess force increased with increasing flow rate and applied microwave power as shown by the experimental data reported in Ye et al. [10] in Figures 4a and 4b. Both dependencies support the presence of a space drive effect. Increasing microwave power increases the number of free electrons and the number of microwave photons to be absorbed by the directional flowing electrons. The increased flow increases the directionality of electron flow which increases the direction absorption of the microwave photon. The plasma gas used by Ye et al. [10] was ambient atmosphere that may comprise up to 4% water vapor which serves as a source of H_3^+ ions. Ye et al. [13] discuss the positive water effect on their results which is consistent with the enhancement of the space drive ion and plasma gas dragging effect due to the presence of these long-lived ions.

Ye et al. [13] published a response to the Wright analysis [11] that calculated the gas temperature rise with 100% of the microwave power heating the flowing gas and the corresponding maximum static gas pressure from the ideal gas law while not addressing the physics of the restricted hydraulic flow or any analysis of the conversion of the plasma chamber pressure into a feeble thrust from the gas expansion through the nozzle created by the ball restriction. It is noteworthy in the Ye et al. response [13] that further details and parameters of the system to supply the plasma gas flow, test parameters, and corresponding experimental results are reported. The gas source was an air compressor that provided gas at a constant pressure set to achieve the desired flow rate as measured by a flow meter. For flow to occur, the input air plasma gas pressure must exceed the plasma chamber pressure even in the case that the flow is restricted, and the plasma is heated by the applied 2.45 GHz microwave power. The microwave power heating and flow restriction must be compensated by a reduced gas flow for continuous flow to occur which is consistent with the reported 20% error in the flow meter reading [13]. In this case, space drive can account for any increase in plasma chamber pressure above the gas inlet pressure. Ye et al. [13] report that with the experimental parameters of an input power of $W_m = 0.4$ kW, air flow rate $I_{air} = 5.0 \times 10^{-4}$ m³/s (1.8 m³/h), and a pressure of the inflowing compressed air in the experiment of $P_{air} = 1.07 \times 10^5$ N/m², the measured thrust pressure was 2.4×10^4 N/m². Subtracting the maximum net chamber pressure being the inflowing pressure P_{air} minus the ambient atmospheric pressure of $P_{atm} = 1.01 \times 10^5$ N/m², gives a net lift pressure of 1.8×10^4 N/m².

Consider the reanalysis of the Ye et al. [10] data with the condition of fixed input pressure set by the air compressor to maintain a desired flow rate measure by its flow meter. The input pressure for each flow rate may be obtained from Ye et al. [10] with the condition of zero microwave input power. Then, the lift data may be corrected for the input gas pressure. Ye et al. [10] provide exactly this data. Specifically, the net space drive lift pressure as a function of microwave power and flow rate at a fixed microwave power are given in Ye et al. [10] Figures 5 and 5b, respectively. For exemplary conditions of 400 W microwave power at a plasma gas flow rate of 1.45 m³/h, the space drive lift pressure in the direction of plasma flow is 1.5 X 10⁴ N/m² or about 15% of atmospheric pressure and comparable to the area thrust density of a jet engine.

Next, consider the theoretical space drive force possible under the experimental conditions of the Ye et al. [10] as an explanation of the results. At a plasma gas flow rate F_l through a volume V , the resulting transit time T is

$$T = \frac{V}{F_l}$$

At a microwave power of P_w , the maximum increase in directional kinetic energy K of the plasma electrons is

$$K = P_w T$$

According to the kinetic theory of gases, the kinetic energy is related to the pressure P (force/area) per volume V by

$$P = \frac{2K}{3V} = \frac{2P_w T}{3V} = \frac{2P_w \frac{V}{F_l}}{3V} = \frac{2P_w}{3F_l}$$

For

$$P_w = 400 \text{ W}$$

$$F_l = 1.45 \text{ m}^3 / \text{h} = 4.03 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 / \text{s}$$

$$V = \frac{\pi \left(\frac{24 \text{ mm}}{2} \right)^2 (600 \text{ mm})}{1 \times 10^9 \text{ mm}^3 / \text{m}^3} = 2.71 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3$$

The transit time T is

$$T = \frac{V}{F_l} = \frac{2.71 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3}{4.04 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 / \text{s}} = 0.672 \text{ s}$$

The increase in directional kinetic energy K is

$$K = P_w T = 400 \text{ W} \times 0.672 \text{ s} = 269 \text{ J}$$

The directional space drive pressure differential P is

$$P = \frac{2P_w}{3F_l} = \frac{2}{3} \frac{400 \text{ W}}{4.03 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^3 / \text{s}} = 6.62 \times 10^5 \text{ N} / \text{m}^2$$

The lift or thrust force F_z on a piston of cross-sectional area A is

$$F_z = PA$$

For

$$V = \frac{\pi \left(\frac{24 \text{ mm}}{2} \right)^2}{1 \times 10^6 \text{ mm}^2 / \text{m}^2} = 4.52 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2$$

$$F_z = PA = (6.62 \times 10^5 \text{ N/m}^2)(4.52 \times 10^{-4} \text{ m}^2) = 300 \text{ N}$$

The lift reported by Ye et al. [10] requires only $\frac{11 \text{ N}}{300 \text{ N}} \times 100 = 3.7\%$ coupling of microwave

power to a corresponding increase in directional kinetic energy K of the plasma electrons compared to the maximum of 50% wherein $\frac{1}{2}$ of the energy of each microwave photon absorbed by a free electron is manifest as directional increased electron kinetic energy. Space drive has the potential of being orders of magnitude more powerful and is a closed system that can provide thrust indefinitely with electrical power provided to the drive by a SunCell wherein water can serve as the source of hydrogen fuel.

Consider a craft that produces a space drive thrust of two times the acceleration of gravity ($g = 9.8 \text{ m/s}^2$). The distance X to Mars is 305.18 billion m . The time t to arrive at Mars for a constant acceleration a of $2g$ and the corresponding final velocity v are

$$t = \sqrt{\frac{2X}{2g}} = \sqrt{\frac{2(305 \times 10^9 \text{ m})}{19.6 \text{ m/s}^2}} = 1.76 \times 10^5 \text{ s} = 2 \text{ days}$$

$$v = 2gt = 19.6 \text{ m/s}^2 \times 1.76 \times 10^5 \text{ s} = 3.5 \times 10^6 \text{ m/s} = 7.7 \times 10^6 \text{ miles/h}$$

compared to 10,000 miles/h and three years for rocketry that at the current state of the art requires a 394 ft tall 11 million lb. rocket and scores of launches for in-space fueling just to reach the moon alone with no fuel infrastructure on Mars to make the trip back.

In further experiments, additional system improvements given by Mills [3-4] may be tested. The space drive may further comprise an addition source of photons such as microwave photon transmitter such as a microwave generator and a horn antenna. The photons made incident the plasma free electron flow are absorbed by the electrons to increase the electron kinetic energy of the electrons in their initial direction of travel as well as that of dragged ions such as long-lived H_3^+ ions of a plasma comprising hydrogen. The absorption efficiency and conversion to directional kinetic energy can be made very high by recirculating unabsorbed photons resulting multi-pass through the plasma using microwave reflectors. To reduce power loss in piston heating by the lift-flow power and to boost the efficiency by energy recovery, the kinetic energy of the plasma may alternatively be transduced into propulsion energy by a magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) converter wherein plasma flow is arrested within a short distance in an MHD channel, and the piston or MHD converter are rigidly attached to a craft to which the lift is imparted. Since the lift or propulsion force is reactionless, the plasma gas may be recirculated by a blower in a closed gas system to propel trans-medium, omnidirectional craft.

References

1. R. Mills, The Grand Unified Theory of Classical Physics, April 2023 Edition, ISBN 979-8-218-17988-5, Library of Congress Control Number 2023905641, Chapter 4, https://brilliantlightpower.com/GUT/GUT_Volume_1/, <https://brilliantlightpower.com/GUT/GUT-CP-2020-Ed-Web.pdf>.

2. R. Mills, <https://brilliantlightpower.com/free-electron-photon-absorption-mechanism/>.
3. R. Mills, "SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INCREASING THE KINETIC ENERGY OF A DIRECTIONAL PLASMA FLOW WITH MAGNETOHYDRODYNAMIC FLOW ARRESTING", PCT Application No. PCT/US2023/084769, December 19, 2023; <https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/2f/c8/3c/72fd3458eae222/WO2024137593A1.pdf>.
4. R. Mills, U.S. App. No. No. 63/512304, entitled SYSTEM AND METHOD FOR INCREASING THE KINETIC ENERGY OF A DIRECTIONAL PLASMA FLOW, filed December 22, 2022; <https://patentimages.storage.googleapis.com/63/c5/48/7f3839ff3ad2b5/WO2022039910A1.pdf>.
5. V. Poterya, P. Kudrna, J. Ruzs, M. Tichý, A. Pysanenka, "Advanced integrated stationary afterglow method for experimental study of recombination of processes of H₃⁺ and D₃⁺ ions with electrons", International Journal of Mass Spectrometry., Volume 218, Issue 2, 1 July 2002, Pages 105-130.
6. https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Trihydrogen_cation
7. <https://www.sairem.com/solutions-for-microwave-plasma-generation/surface-wave-microwave-plasma-sources/>.
8. Martina Leins, Sandra Gaiser, Andreas Schulz, Matthias Walker, Uwe Schumacher, and Thomas Hirth, "How to Ignite an Atmospheric Pressure Microwave Plasma Torch without Any Additional Igniters", J Vis Exp. 2015; (98): 52816; PMCID: PMC4541567, PMID: 25938699 (<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4541567/> and <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25938699/>).
9. Muegge Gerling, Gerling Applied Engineering, Inc., P.O. Box 580816, Modesto, CA 95358-0816, USA, (<https://muegge.de/en/product/atmospheric-plasma-source-ma6000a-013bb/>).
10. D. Ye, J. Li, J. Tang, "Jet propulsion by microwave air plasma in the atmosphere", AIP Adv. 10, 055002 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0005814>.
11. P. L. Wright, S. A. Samples, N. M. Uchizono, R. E. Wirz, "Comment on "Jet propulsion by microwave air plasma in the atmosphere" [AIP Adv. 10, 055002 (2020)]", AIP Advances 10, 099101 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0013575>.
12. https://www.engineersedge.com/heat_transfer/convective_heat_transfer_coefficients_13378.htm
13. D. Ye, J. Li, J. Tang, "Response to "Comment on 'Jet propulsion by microwave air plasma in the atmosphere'" [AIP Adv. 10, 055002 (2020)]", AIP Advances 10, 099102 (2020), <https://doi.org/10.1063/5.0016674>.